App F IX 4

Mr 116-17 (26,27)
Previous References
Mr 196 19 (11 17 19 23 25)
Mr 207 21 (1)
Mr 91 2 (2-6)
Mr Later References 8 29 (1-2)
239 38 12

1930
(Mr)

File No 111-30
Serial Nos 10, 12, 1
17, 18, 19 20, 2
22 23 24 25,
26 27 & 28

PRESERVED

Mf 68-36 (49 to 51)
Mr 79-38-813, 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos fifteen

Part B for August-1934

SUBJECT

Discontinuance of the levy of flat rate in the Bangalore city Municipality

---

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city
& Comptroller to Govt
, Chief

WD 01965—GPB—15 000—7 7-34

4 o No f 1364-b dated 27-8 1934

Sanctions the resolution of the Bangalore city Municipal Council for the — —

L3935

III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat | ml File No 111 of 1930

Serial No	
10	From The Municipal Commissioner, Baroda-City-Municipality- No S-20190 Dated 30/12/30 26/1/31 Forwards Copies of Mc-Cs resolutions dt 22-12-30 requesting the Commissioner to suspend the levy of flat rate & States under the circumstances explained that the Municipality will lose Rs 10000 at an unfortunate & inopportune time when its finances are actually passing & is confronted with an economic crisis 1) The proposal of the Baroda-City-Municipal Council to discontinue the levy of flat rates on all house connections in Baroda-City was first vetoed by Government in this Office letter No L8762 - ml 338-29-2 dated 2nd June 1930 2) In letter no 35 dt 6-8-30, the President of the ml cl: urged a re-consideration of the above Orders & a Confidential reply was sent in this Office D-O letter No-L2272 - ml 111-30-5 dt 11-9-30 that the matter may be placed before the ml cl 3) The ml cl have again resolved On 22-12-30 requesting the Commissioner

FCS

T

NOTE—Co ntu Sheet

to suspend the levy of Flat Rate & in the paper under disposal, the Mc. Commr has reviewed the financial position of the Banaras Cy Mc. cl. and submits for orders of Govt the resolution of the cl. He has further pointed out that in view of the slender resources of the [redacted] Mc=cl it will not be in the interests of the Municipality to suspend the levy of Flat Rate. Subo. for orders

4) The Chief Engineer may ~~also~~ be consulted.
7-1-31.

5) Gen Secy) 27/1/31

6) [redacted] This matter can not be acted upon by the Govt, as the power of the Mc Council to discontinue the Flat rate has been not vested by Govt in Govt

Key 27/1/31

Key 1

7) Draft Subd.

8) Gen. Secy) 27/1/31

eneral and Revenu Secretariat | File No 111 of 1930

Serial No

OFFICE OF THE T M M
28 JAN 1931
No 4238

9) DR for appl

28/1/31

10) PS to Member

11) Dewan

12)

30/1/31

13) I think the controversy that is going on between Government and the Municipality is the result of a misconception in regard to the nature of the ite to be collected The flat rate is a fee charged for every house-connection given by ~~Govern-~~ Mc Public Works Department and I do not understand why it has been assumed to be a rate levied under the Municipal Regulation As a matter of convenienc and of economy it was proposed that the collection of this item should be entrusted to the Municip lit This was the understanding in the earlier stages of the association of the Municipality with the collection of this fee Later on, however, the Municipality and Government both seem to have assumed the fee to be a rate under the Municipal Law and it is this view that is responsible for the controversy that is now going on

4) I think it is necessary to have a clear conception of the nature of the several + + +

enter into the income side of the Revenue Account of the City Water Works They can broadly be brought under two classes, so long as the Municipality is unable to bear the whole cost of the scheme -

1) Municipal rate for the provision of water service which is a primary responsibility of the Municipality and other payments which the Municipality makes towards such service from its general resources

and 2) Rents, fees and excess consumption charges payable by persons taking house connections

15) The City Water Works are the property of Government and the Municipality cannot have anything to do with the items coming under class(2) except ~~to the extent that it is willing to offer its~~ any co-operation (which may be willing to offer) in their collection It is only in regard to ~~them or~~ items coming under class(1) that the unicipality can claim to exercise its powers under the Municipal Regulation

16) If the Municipality is not willing to help Governmert in collecting in an economic manner any of the items comirg under class(2), we cannot and we need not force it to do so Only it will be necessary to point out to the Municipality its refractory attitude in regard to a vital service like the provision of water to the City I believe the Public Works Departrent has an establishment for the collection of excess water charges and it should not be difficult for that Department to arrange for the collection of the flat rate as well If any additional establishment is quite necessary for

L3735

Serial No

OFFICE OF THE THIRD M C IL
4 MAR 1931
No 5 274

for undertaking this extra work, it will have to be allowed to that Department Between the two, the Public Works Department and the Municipality, the work of collecting the flat rate remains unattended to The Public Works Department believed that it had transferred it to the Municipality, but the Municipality has refused to undertake the responsibility The result is that arrears are accumulating, their recovery is becoming more and more difficult, and the annual account of the Water Works is showing a bigger deficit than it should and this deficit has had to be financed by Government

17) The nature of the flat rate may be pointed out to the Municipality and if it will not agree to collect it, the Public Works Department will have to take over this work and collect this fee along with excess water charges

18) Subject to Sec's RG 11/3/31 VS

19) DS (Mr. C) CO 2-3 RG 18/2/31 VS

20) Draft sub. DS 23/2/31 (Gen Secy) VS 23/2/31

22) DS — Not necessary before Union RG 2/3/31 VS

23) III Mr. Mehta

24) Engrossed [illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 045 1—G PB—50 000—8-7 30

L3735 C6

ML File No 111 of 1930-31 General and Revenue Secretariat

Sec 12 To The Municipal Commissioner thro' the President, Bangalore City - ML CL No L7211 dated the 6th March 1931

Explains as to how there is a misconception in regard to the Revenue derived from the Flat Rate etc, in Bangalore City

25) Issued & resubmitted for Orders The point referred to by the III member of Council in paragraph 24 of the note supra appear to refer to the Collection of Flat Rate & Not Excess Water Charges The views of the Govt as regards the nature of the rate has been just communicated to the Bangalore City-Municipal Council and whether it may not be expedient to wait for sometime before the P W-D is asked to collect the rate is a point for Consideration

7 3 31 26) 3rd Member 7 3 31

27) The matter may be placed before Council on 16/3/31

Letter no Q T 267 dy 16 & 31 14 Secl Comm to Bl Corp enclg Memo forwarded for orders thereon

OFF THIRD M 9 MAR No 5523

Govt A

Please put up on 16th September 1931

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mil File No 111 of 1930

Serial No

The resolution of the Mucc, that they cannot agree with the Govt that the flat-rate is a fee levied by the P W D & not imposed under the Mucc Regn, that the rates are imposed under the Mucc Regn & has been suspended by the Mucc and that in any case it cannot be collected

28) In another file on the same subject, the Secy has noted that the Mucc were heard to be told that the levy of the flat rate cannot be waived since the Mucc is required to collect the rate action has to be taken as indicated in Secy's note at page 17 supra Submitted for orders

Mr 23/4/31 Gen Secy) VS 24-4-31

Sapru — Please speak to me —

To whom we the (process qary?

RZ 24/4/31 VS

NOTE — Contn Sheet

File No of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 111 of 1931

Serial No

31) As orally directed by the Secretary the following note is submitted -

32) The proceeds of the Flat Rate whether collected by the Government or the Municipal Council go to reduce the maintenance charges payable by the Bangalore City Municipal COUNcil The Municipal Council is required to pay the entire charges for the maintenance of the Chamarajendra Water Works less the income derived from sale of water excess water charges and Flat Rate

33) The refusal of the Municipal Council to levy the Flat Rate has the effect of reducing the total resources of the Municipal Council by the amount expected from the Flat Rate The question that may be considered in this connection is whether by the refusal to levy the rate the Municipal Councillors may be made personally responsible for the loss accruing to the City Municipality The only Section in the Municipal Regulation which imposes a liability on the Municipal Councillors is Section 42 which states that every Councillor is personally responsible for the misapplication of the Municipal funds In this case there is no misappliation of the fund Moreover the levy proposed is to be made by the Government itself and if the levy is so made there is no loss to the Municipal Council

34) The Proceedings of the Municipal Council show that the legality of the levy is likely to be questioned and it may perhaps be necessary to provide in the rules for the levy of the Flat Rate

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No 111 of 1930

General and Revenue Secretariat

and the consequences that would follow by the non-payment of the levy In this connection, it may be noted that the rules regarding the supply of water in Bangalore and Mysore Cities do not purport to issue under any statute The expediency of ~~xxxi-xxxx~~ re-issuing the rules under Section 176 of the Municipal Regulation with necessary modifications for the levy of Flat Rate etc may be considered in consultation with the Law Secretary

Gen Secy) 28 4 31

27/4/31

Flag X

Wilkie Secy to Govt

Secy RR 28/4/31

3a) I shd like to see a copy of the rules referred to in para 3 of the G O no M 1088-93 dated 2nd Oct 1925 — Has these rules been modified & new addenda issued as stated in para 8 of the G O

RR 2/5/31

P.W Scott for Kind Compliance)

2 5 31

3b) No addenda would appear to have been issued in pursuance of the G O. referred to. A copy of the rules is attached. G.S with compts)

RR 2/7/31

No 395 Judl 4-5-31

No 395 PWW 4/7/31

Flag A

GENERAL SECRETARY. 3 JULY 1931 No 23

5063

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 111 of 1930

Serial No

37) Read with ref to para 35 the P W Secty states that the Water Supply Rules do not appear to have been amended to give effect to the levy of the flat rate as the rule etc are not in favour of the levy, the P W Secty will have to arrange for the collection of the ~~water~~ flat rate and in order to enable the P W D to collect the rate and cut off the supply of water, the P W Secty may be asked to issue the necessary amendments to the rules early. In the absence of the amendment, it would not be possible to levy the flat rate or to cut off the supply of water for non-payment of the rate

KS 3/7/31 Gen Secy) 6/7/31

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 1 JUL 1931 180

for non-payment

2- Sir A. and Mr. Chatterjee.

× Secy P

RD 5/8/31

Book memo from Secy

KS 8/8/31

NOTE—Contn Sheet

4) A note on the liability of the Bangalore city municipal council in respect of the water supply charges and the expediency of insisting on the levy of flat rate as stated as directed by the Govt Secy

WD 02553—50 000—GPB—8-7 30

31 Chief Secy) 12/8/31

File No 111 of 1930 — General and Revenue Secretariat

41) The enquiry agreement must now first may be sent to the Legal Secy

AS 14/8/31 Gen Secy) VS 14 8 31

78/Law

GENERAL SECRETARY'S 14 AUG 1931 No 1

42) The Note put up deals with the position as it stands. But when the new water supply scheme comes into operation you will have to make a large annual contribution towards the maintenance charges. So there is no reason why Govt should forego the revenue when the Municipality will not enjoy the same.

Hd Clerk to see Member) 1 Aug 3-9 31

Hm

OFFICE OF THE GOVT SECRETARY OF C 18 SEP 1931 940

43) The Law Dept may be consulted.

Hm 29/9 VS

Hm 30/9

Ans 9

Hd Clerk to see AS 6 to bring up with the Note 17/8 VS 18 8 31

AS 6 please discuss the subject with me Monday 4pm 27/8 VS 28 8 31

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

44) It is admitted by Government that the flat rate in question is a fee charged for every house connection given by the Public Works Department and that it is not a rate levied under the Municipal Regulation

45) The Bangalore City Municipality in their resolution of 4th April 1931 forwarded with the Commissioner's letter of 16th April (P W D), appear to question the correctness of this fact and say that even assuming it to be true, the levy would be one unauthorised by law and consequently that it cannot be collected.

46) No reasons are given as to why the levy by the Govt in its Public Works Dept is to be considered as being one 'unauthorised by law'. If by 'law' is meant only the ~~Stat~~ "statutory law", the resolution would be merely a statement of an obvious fact, but it cannot by this be concluded that the levy is illegal. The right of Govt to charge & levy such a rate ~~would~~ can be based on a contract express or implied. As owners of the water works and of the water therein contained, Govt can say that they do not give any house connection ~~and~~ or continue the supply of water, unless

NOTE—Contn Sheet

the persons who wants the connection & the water agrees to pay the flat rate & if certain rules are made and an applicant for water is shown to have subscribed to such rules, the transaction becomes in law a contract and the person who gets water under it is bound to pay the rate agreed upon.

47) Now the rules under which horse connections are given in the Bangalore City are the rules issued under notification No 772-527S dated 29th June 1912 by Govt in the P.W Dept. The rules do not throw any obligation, on the part of Govt to continue either the horse connection or the supply of water. On the other hand rule 25 empowers Govt "whenever it deems fit, to alter or modify the foregoing rules, or to abolish altogether the system of horse connections established thereunder or to stop or reduce the supply to any private attachment or any public fountain without assigning any reason whatsoever." If therefore Govt say that unless the flat rate is paid, they won't supply the water, there is no breach of contract — express or implied — that

2877/31 5063

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 111 of 1930

Serial No

8
Law

—s commuted by Govt

48) The only possible difficulty that is likely to arise by making the rate to depend only on a contract is when arrears are allowed to accumulate. The summary remedies open under the Mcl Regn for recovery of taxes would not be available and Govt will have to go to Courts of law, if they cannot otherwise recover them

49) It may however be mentioned that if the conditions set forth in section 74 of the Municipal Regulation are satisfied, there is enough power vested in Govt under section 74 read with sec 176, to compel the Municipality to levy the rate in question and to collect the same.

—Chief Secy)

3/1/32

L S G

4/1/32

4/1/32

50) Read with reference to members minute para 43 Govt note supra

4/1 Govt Secy)

4/1

NOTE Contn Sheet

File given back by the Secy, with reference to put up another note

14/1

CHIEF SECRETARY
JAN

GENERAL SECRETARY
8 JAN 1932
No 814

WD 25 5,000—GPB—87 C

File No of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

5-163

Please note the following information —

1 Up to what year the flat rate was being collected by the P W D, and the arrears due if any on this account when the collection was transferred to the Mcty

2 The years during which the Mcty collected the ~~assess~~ flat rate & the amount realised

3 The maintenance charges ~~paid~~ payable by the Mcty & the year from which this is being paid by the Mcty in full, the amount of such charges that the Mcty was paying before this arrangement came to force

4 Who collects the excess water charges, ~~under rate & to whom paid & amount so~~

ended for permanent record]

AD 14/1

WAA

2) Information re (1), (2) & (3) ~~which was~~ ~~paid to~~ ~~applicable~~, ~~charges~~ is not available in this Wing. The cases where charges are collected by the P W D

AD 16/1

3) Drafts to the Chief Engr and to the Mcty were ~~addressed~~ for approval

AD 16/1/32

4) Issue & send

AD 26/1

To the Chief Engr
No 5-297 dt 28-1-32
calls for information

To the Mcty
No L 5496 dt 28-1-32

NB — Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

G R S III—3]

43 84

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc. Instead of 3 for permanent record.]

5) file received & laid
Sm
28/1

6) A reply has been received from the Rte Engr

7) The Chief Engineer may be reminded

Sm 29/2

8) All reminded
Sm 24/2 9) Done 24.III.32

To Rte Chief Engr
No 1 Cost dy 26-2-32

Letter no 14336 dy 5-5-32 from Rte Chief Engr furnishing the particulars required, and stating that particulars relating to the rubble are not available in his office and that the enclosed, which has been prepared in the office

10) Filed with reference to previous papers

Sm 7/5

11) The above information was called for with a view to putting up a note, as directed by the General

Should the notes be written on small slips they must be pasted or stapled on this form

Secretary, stating that the Municipal Council stand as a result of their

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

[App F, III—2]

Serial No

attitude in regard to the terms of the Hon.

12) A note is accordingly put up ...

(Sd/ Secy) ... 20/5

13) Ref Para 43 Secys

To Member

27/5/32

14) Please request the Mysl Comr to discuss the matter with me early

16/6/32

17/6

attended to 17/6

Put up proposal

17/6

15) Issue today, Keep up to date in this file

to me relevant No 8372 dy 20-6-32

Request him to discuss the matter with me early

16) Mysl Comr will discuss Premiers speech to me again next week. Meantime will see Jayarao please speak to me.

21/7/32

740/21/7

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 025 3- 0 000—GPB—8

(to Mr Jayarao)

GENERAL SECRETARY. 23 MAY 1932 No 8015

27 MAY 1932 No 9992

File No. 5068 of 193 — General and Revenue Secretariat

17) Secured with mr Jaya Rao's [illegible] - I am inclined to think the view that the correct point to take up is that it is the flat rate a municipal rate

by 26-7-32

Asstsecy dsg by

S 11/P

dS, Law (Secy) mp 26/7

mp 26/7

GENERAL SECRETARY 26 JULY 1932 No.

18) Please see me q Scott & the Municipality has now to be compelled to make the levy

RR 4/8/32 Asstsecy

19) Submitted for orders, with reference to para 16 above, as to the further action to be taken in the case.

2nd member) mp 6/8

20 Please ask Municipal Comr to send me - Kumbakonam Society the Licenses sent by them

6 AUG 1932 No. 12 18

by 8/8/32

RR 8/8/32 draft do sent herewith

mp 8/8

Submitted, with a draft Do

Recd 9/8 mp 27-8-32 [illegible] 1932

by 10/8/32 — Issued vide Issue Order mp 11/8 11/8/32 to P [illegible] Engineer

Signatures mp 8/8 3/10

Serial No

24 It is not known if the Municipal Council has informed the Members of the result of his discussion with the President If he has not, he will should be reminded

II Members) dm 7/10

25 Yes Ltr 9/10/32 Draft dm

26 Draft mg Ware dm 12/10

Issued No L 2620 B 12-10-32

27 It is not known whether the discussions have been intimated to the Secretary Council Shld write a DO reminder

28 It is doubtful if any further reminder to the Council is necessary Apparently he has not yet intimated to the Members the result of his discussion with the President

29 The General Secretary may perhaps speak to the Commissioner on the phone & ascertain how the matter stands

(Secretary) dm 3/12

30 The Members may then

31 Shld for orders whether another reminder or Ltr shd be sent to the Municipal Commrs Ltr 10/12 dm 10/12

32 Please put up a clean DO Issued 10/11 #13689/32 Copy of the DO remains on the lines of the previous one to Lahore

[illegible]

as A 13/1

GENERAL 3 - DEC 1932 SECRETARY'S No.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13 8 31

Subd with a typed draft for a
33 GO reminder Refce. Asst Secy's
CB order supra
11/1/33 for approval & order.

Genl Secy) MSK
11/1/33

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
No

35 12/1

issued No 4600 dt 16/1/33 MSK
13/1/33

REVENUE

From the ml Commr Bteg
GO No 325/32-33. dt 17-1-33
States that he has intimated the second
member of Council about the result of discussion
and requests that the file may be returned to
him for being placed before the Council in
connection with the General improvement
of finances for meeting the extra expenditure
on account of water supply.
Submitted for perusal. Orders are
solicited whether the file may be sent
to the ml Commr as requested by him

CB
18/1

38 Subd for orders (Ref para 20)
It is not clear from the letter whether
the Member desired the Secrett file to be
sent to the Ml Commr for being placed before the Council

Secy Member) MSK
18/1/33 39 Please request me
to see the
Ref to Govt

Dft issued MSK 20/1/33

JAN DEC
19 JAN 1933
No 5719

Levy of flat-rate - Bangalore city -

Serial No

24 Issues vide 4707 of 201/33 to P.W. Krishna Rao Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City. Requests him to see the 2nd amendment passed in the office on Monday the 23rd instant

(1) The file may now be sent to the 2nd member's office, for reference, on Monday the 23rd inst

Seen by Member 23/1/33

23 CG 23/1/33

(2) To be carried with Home Cons knowing the receipt of any more pleas in various ~~applications~~ representations of the city, etc to the Govt or amount of levy imposed, the whole may be once reconsidered then result a

by 23/1/33

RG 23/1/33 HDA 23/1/33

(4) Submitted with a draft

CG 23/1/33

(5) [illegible] 23/1/33.

25 The Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City No L 4810 dated 25-1-?

Vide that the Municipal Council may be requested to reconsider their resolution in the matter of levying flat rate

WI —CHM—(10 —1 8

ency of flat rate in Bangalore city.

File No 3541, 3559, I 111 of 1930 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter no Ry Do 386 dated 16th May 1934 from the Municipal Commr Bangalore city forwarding a copy of the resolution of the MCC that the Govt may be requested to cancel the levy of flat rate

Submits with copies & orders relating to the new Bangalore scheme of water supply to Bangalore city (Refer Govt)

E

E

Sd/ 5 6 34

by The resolution of the MCC is for orders

by The terms which go to make up the sum of Rs 4 lakhs payable by the MCC towards the Water charges under Govt no no L 1125 31 Dy 9 8 1933 do not include the flat rate in question, and para 1(5) of that order lays down that any deficiency in the receipts should be made good from the general revenues of the city. In these cases there is perhaps no objection to sanction the proposal of the MCC for the cancellation of the levy of the flat rate.

Chief Secy 6/6

by For favour of Secretariat

Sd/ 6/6

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 6 JUNE 1934

Sd/ 18/6

Secy.

Je 3541 20/6/34

Sd/ 21 6 34

I have no objn to Govt sanctioning the anticipated payment of 4 lakhs of rupees on account of water charges as requested.

Sd/ 23/6 Secretary

RECEIVED SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 26 JUNE 1934 No 6793

Sd/ 26/6 Sd/ 26/6

Serial No

8792

OFFICE 1934

71 I agree with the Hon Sec

6/7

7/7/37

7/7 11-7-34

12/7/37

73 Submitted with a draft for approval

73 12/7/37

Though the G.O. of 9-8-33 (Hagl) 74 lays down the several items of land revenue which go to make up the sum of Rs 4 lakhs (means the Govt Contribution of Rs 30 000), it is difficult to say that the payment of the portion due by the Mesne, viz Rs 370 000 is ensured yet the Hon Can has made a reqn today that the full revenue from some sources, e.g. excess waterchargs, is not being realised yet & that the Mesne cannot therefore pay the amount by which the realisations fall short of the total sum due by it. The connected file has been sent for orders separately & it is proposed to give some time to the Mesne for payment of the balance.

75 The draft reply to the Can is for orders

Chiefsecy 13/7/37

76 Please return the file

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01536—GPB—7 500—5-4-34

Part I 5359

File No 5511/14 of 1930 | General and Revenue Secretariat

77) Submitted with a draft for approval
14/7/34 14/7

78) Issue
14/7

Issues not 395 of 20/7/34 to the Secretary
Requests him to speak to the Chief Secy in the matter

79) Spoken to me Cl.
order may now be put up

27/7/34

80) Please put up a draft to
sanctioning the proposed grant

81) Submitted with a draft letter for approval since
the first order sanctioning the levy was issued
from the R & S Secty, it is for orders whether they
may not perhaps
be considered now

8/8 8/8

82) Please put up a typed draft
as approved

9/8

Typ Sec for C

Submitted with a typed draft
the draft letter is for consideration

10/8 10/8/34

Chief Secy)
Home)

10/8

11/8/34 11/8

2/8

27/8

Issue

TYPING SECTION
14 JUL 1934
GENL & REV SECTT

TYPING SECTION
9 AUG 1934
GENL & REV SECTT

CHIEF SECRETARY
10 AUG 1934

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
11 AUG
NO

TYPING SECTION
24 AUG 193
GENL & REV

5371

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 111 of 1936 | [App F III—2

Serial No 25

Jones wol 1364-6 dl 29 & 34 to indemnify Comptr Telegraphs functions are postponed.

J
Bm
29

WD 019 5—GPB—22 500—12 6-34

File No	of 193	General and Revenue Secretariat

Note on the liability of the Bangalore City Municipal Council in respect of Water Supply charges and levy of flat rate

---

When the original scheme of supplying water to the Bangalore City (estimated at Rs 17 lakhs) from the Hesaraghatta tanks was sanctioned it was the intention of Govt to defray in the first instance the whole cost of the scheme, provided the Municipal Council were to eventually repay the capital outlay with interest in annual instalments and meet the maintenance cost of the supply. The income of the Municipal ~~Council~~ not being sufficient for meeting the demand on this account, and the Municipal Council having pleaded inability to meet any share of the capital cost, Government in their order dated 3rd July 1895 decided to levy from the Municipal Council Rs 5 lakhs only, towards the capital cost, the amount being repayable at Rs 32,000 per annum within a period of about 20 years. To meet the additional liability on this account, the Municipal Council were permitted to levy water-rate at 3 per cent, on the rental value of buildings.

2. The annual maintenance charges were estimated at Rs 44,000 for a total supply of 2,40,000 gallons of water per day. The annual levy on account of repayment of capital with interest, annual maintenance, and provision for depreciation was fixed at Rs 88,000. The annual charges gradually fell into arrears and on the representation of the Municipal Council the arrears of maintenance charges amounting to Rs 3,44,175 were written off, and it

directed that from and after the year 1905-04 the entire actual proceeds from water tax and toll, should be assigned towards the annual maintenance charges subject to a minimum contribution of Rs 50,000 a year The Municipal Council not having accepted this settlement, the Government directed that with effect from 1906-07, the Municipal contribution towards the maintenance of the water supply be limited to Rs 50,000 a year

3 This arrangement continued till 1920, when a Committee was appointed to consider the question of apportionment of the charges for - water supply and electric lighting for the two Cities between the Government and the Municipal Council, as the Government found the existing arrangement to be far from satisfactory On the recommendation of the Committee, Government directed in April 1924, that the entire charges for water supply should be borne by the City Municipal Council from 1st July 1924 and that steps should be taken to improve the income so as to meet the growing expenditure on account of water supply In September 1925, it was also directed that the capital cost of all future developments of the Water Supply scheme of the City should be borne by the Municipal Council Owing to the fall of the income from Octroi, the Government on 22nd July 1925, directed that the levy from the Municipal -- Council of the full maintenance charges, be deferred till 1925-26

4 The existing water supply having been in the meantime found to be inadequate, a scheme for improving it at a cost of Rs 16 lakhs roughly, was considered and the Municipal Council - -

requested Government to contribute 1/3rd of the cost of the scheme, and proposed to take a loan for the remainder of the amount required at 5 per cent interest In order to meet the sinking fund and interest charges for the repayment of the loan, the Municipal Council proposed (among others) to improve the income as follows and the proposals have been approved by Government --

(i) doubling the rates of toll,

(ii) increasing the rate of tax on vacant sites,

(iii) enhancement of the rate of water tax

(iv) increasing the rate of excess water charges,

(v) levy of flat rate of 4 annas a month on every house connection given and to be given in addition to excess water charges

The income from these sources was intended to be utilised for forming a sinking fund and payment of interest charges on the loan proposed to be taken, the balance, if any being turned separately for meeting the cost of renewals and depreciation charges The loan was not raised as a new scheme for the water supply of the City has since been undertaken and it is proposed to sell water to the Municipal Council at a fixed rate

Government in the Public Works Department sanctioned the levy of the flat rate in their order No L 1008-93, dated 2nd October 1925 and directed that the necessary addenda to the Government Order of 1912 be issued The Secretary to Government, Public Works Department in Para 36 of the notes admits that the water supply rates have not

been amended In the absence of the amendment of the rules the levy of the flat rate is not enforceable The Municipal Council are not agreeable to levy the rate and in the absence of rules under Section 46 (1) of the Municipal Regulation, it is not possible to enforce the levy The question for consideration is whether it is expedient and necessary for Government to direct the Public Works Department to collect the flat rate along with excess water charges as directed by the officiating Dewan in Para 17 of the notes above If the Public Works Department has to enforce the levy, the water supply rules have to be amended and power taken to ~~emit~~ cut off the water supply for non-payment of the rate

6 The annual demand on account of the flat rate is about Rs* 10,000 and a sum of Rs 4,064 only was collected by the Municipality staff during 1929-30 The annual maintenance charges of the water supply ~~according to the~~ for the past two years is about Rs 175000 and ~~provisional budget for the current year is~~ about Rs. 200,000. The whole of this is paid by the Municipal Council) The Municipal Council derive an income of about Rs 1,24,000 a year from water rate and Rs 50,000 from excess water charges and the remaining amount is made up out of the income from other sources of the Municipal Council

(1)
In view of the facts that the levy of the flat rate was agreed to by the Municipal Council in order to find the necessary means for repayment of a loan required for the improvement of the water supply,

(2) the loan has not been raised and

* Taken from the admin Report Exact no of house connections is not known

B. There are about 6,109 house connections the annual demand is Rs 19,227 or 15 per cent of the maintenance charges Rs 24/8/31

(3) the demand ~~from~~ the levy is only ~~two~~ annual on account of
// per cent of the total cost of maintenance
of water supply, it is for consideration -
whether it is expedient or necessary for --
Government to insist on the levy of the flat
rate by the agency of the Public Works --
/ Department, so long as the Municipal Council
make no default in payment of the entire - -
maintenance charges on account of the water
supply

DS 12/8/31

12 8 31

PS

LEVY OF FLAT RATE IN BANGALORE City

Origin of the Flat rate

In G O No L 6058-65/M1 176-19-25 dated 19-4-1922 it was ordered that the entire charges on account of the Bangalore Water Supply should be borne by the city revenues and that steps should be taken to improve the income of the Municipality to meet the growing expenditure on this account The levy of full maintenance charge from the Municipal Council was however in a later order of 22-7-1925 allowed to be deferred till 1925-26 owing to trade depression and fall in the income from Octroi The Municipal Council is paying the full charges from 1-7-1926

2 The Municipal Council having made certain proposals for the revision of house connection charges etc in connection with a scheme for the improvement of the water supply, it was ordered in G O No M 1088-93 dated 2nd October 1925, among other things, that a flat rate of 4 annas per month be levied as a charge for every house connection already given and to be given thereafter This levy was to be given effect to from 1st July 1925

P T O

Municipal Council go back on their proposal

3 The Water ~~Supply~~ Works Department collected the flat rate from July 1925 till September 1926 and thereafter asked the Municipal Council to take up the collection work as they could not attend to it. It was not, however till 1929-30 that the work was really transferred to the --- Municipal Council the matter having -- been under correspondence till then between the Government and the Municipal Council At first there was difficulty felt in making the collections as some rate payers urged that the arrears might be excused as they were not responsible for the accumulation, When the question was referred to the Managing Committee non-compliance with the provisions of Section 62 of the Municipal Regulation was found to be in the way of the collection of the new rate The formalities prescribed were then gone through and on 14-12-1929 the Municipal Council -- approved of the levy from 1-1-1930

Pay IV

4 Very shortly after, i e , on 22-4-30 the Council resolved that the levy be discontinued, until the water supply was improved under the new scheme, for the following reasons -

Pay V

(1) preearsousness of the supply of

of water,

(ii) hardship which many of the poor rate-payers were subjected to by the increase of house taxes in the general revision,

(iii) the enhancement of the tax voluntarily sought for by some rate payers to secure house connections

5 The Government did not accept the resolution The Council, however, persisted and passed another resolution on 26th September 1930, that the Municipal Commissioner be instructed not to collect the rate The Commissioner having pointed out that this resolution was against Government Orders and Section 47 of the Municipal Regulation, the Council resolved again on 22nd December 1930 that their previous resolution suspending the levy be given effect to

Payment of water charges by the Municipal Council

6 In the meanwhile the entire amounts due by the Municipal Council towards -- water charges to the Public Works Department have been paid - from 1-7-1926, the figures for 1928-29 and orwards being as follows -

1928-29	Rs 1,53,402/-
1929-30	" 1,50,624/-
1930-31	" 1,54,174/-

The sources of Municipal revenue

for

for payment of these charges are (1) water-tax (2) Excess Water Charges and (3) flat rate and whatever is found short to make up the amount due will have to be made good by the Municipal Council from their other revenues

7 The following statement shows roughly how the figures stand -

Year	Amount paid by Ml Cl for Water Supply and maintenance charges	Amount recovered by the Ml Cl from			Total recovered	Made good otherwise
		Water-Tax	Excess water charges	Flat rate		
	1-		2		3	4
1928-29	2 00,924	1,08,474	43,667		1 52 141	48 783
1929-30	1,93,975	1,55,594	45,800	4324	2,05,718	+ 11,743
1930-31	2,00,411	88,841	51 280	7874	1,47,995	52 416

Present position as a result of the Ml Council's attitude

8 It will be seen from the above --- figures that the Municipal Council had to make up every year a large amount in 1928 29 to 1930 31 about Rs - for payment of maintenance charges due by them to the Public Works Department, as their income from water-tax etc fall short to that extent This deficiency could have been considerably to some extent reduced, if the Municipal Council were collecting the flat-rate regularly The annual demand on account of Flat-rate is Rs 18 000/- and by not collecting it fully the Municipal Council have been losing every year ,

for which they have to thank themselves

9 The levy of flat-rate has not, however been fully suspended and small recoveries are being made as shown in the statement in para 7 above The small recovery is perhaps due to the Municipal Council not taking active steps in collection as also to the unwillingness of rate-payers to pay it owing to inadequacy of the supply of water There is also the legal difficulty in enforcing the levy due to (1) defect in the water supply rules owing to the absence of a provision for disconnection in case of failure to pay the rate and (2) the Municipal Council not having framed the necessary rules under Section 126(1) of the Municipal Regulation

In fact the MC CL have opined that the levy is not authorised by law & that it is validly suspended

10 It is a point for consideration whether, when the Municipal Council ar apathetic in the matter regardless o their own interests, and are suffering los in revenue almost every year, Government ne- put pressure upon them in the matter of the recovery

What the position will be under the new Water Supply arrangements

11 The annual contribution recoverab from the City Municipal Council under new scheme is fixed at Rs 4/- lakh and

and the sum is proposed to be made up from the following sources -

(i) Recovery of excess water charges by metering unmetered connections,

(ii) Levy of water rate on all Govt buildings,

(iii) Reduction of free allowance of water from 4,000 gallons to 3,200,

(iv) Continuance of the present contribution which the Municipality is now making

(v) Present excess water charges,

(vi) Water rate recovered,

(vii) Contribution now being made annually for temporary improvement of -- water supply

12 There is no reference in the connected correspondence to the revenue from the levy of flat-rate and it is not clear if there is no intention to levy it under the new arrangement If it is so, the questio of the levy now under consideration in thi file will lose its importance and it may not require further consideration

13 The Municipal Council's resolution of April 1930 said that the levy of the flat rate may be suspended till the water supply was improved under the new scheme and if according to the resolution quite Municipal Council the rate has to be levied after the new scheme comes into working, it is not clear why revenue from this source is not taken

into calculation ~~in working out~~ for the purpose of the annual contribution payable under the new scheme

The later resolution of the MC Council dt 26 9 30 is, however, unconstitutional & instructs the MC Cant not to collect the flat-rate & their idea is perhaps not to revive ~~it~~ or regularise it

14 In any case Government will not be affected by any decision which the Municipal Council may take in the matter, as the loss or gain resulting therefrom affects the Municipality itself

Should it be decided that Govt should insist on the levy of the flat rate, the course to be followed is suggested in the note of the Law Dept in the file

whether in the interest of the MC Council or for any other reason,

K M R 3
20-5

ASD
20/5

Mc 111-30-10

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

No C To 190

Encls 1) Copy of Council Resln D 22 12 30
2) ——— Do ——— 26 9 30
3) ——— Do ——— 22 4 30
4) Mc comms noted 3 10 30

Bangalore City Dated 30th Dec 1930.
26th January 1931

3735
27-1-31

FROM

C MACHIA ESQ B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

Srimath
27/1/31

B Ranga Rao, Esq, B A, B L,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a copy of the resolution of the Council meeting held on 22nd December 1930 requiring the Commissioner to suspend the levy of flat rate and to state that the flat rate was resolved to be levied at a meeting of the Council held on 7th March 1925 and it was sanctioned by Government in their Order No 1033-93 dated 2nd October 1925 The Waterworks Department collected this rate till September 1926 and thereafter asked the Municipality to take up the collections as they could not attend to it As the result of long correspondence between Government and Municipality it was finally ordered by Government in 1929 that this collection work should be made over to the Municipality Consequently energetic steps were taken to collect this rate and when particular attention was paid to heavy arrears, some of the rate-payers urged that the arrears might be excused, as they had accumulated for no fault of theirs, and on this account the matter went up before the Managing Committee On 15th November 1929 the Managing Committee decided that flat rate could not be collected as the provisions of Section 62 of the Municipal Regulation had not been complied with On 2nd November 1929 all the formalities were complied with and the Council on 14th December 1929 approved of the levy

as from 1st January 1930 On 22nd April 1930 the Council resolved that the levy of flat rate be discontinued, but Government in their letter No L 8762/M1 338-29-2 dated 22nd June 1930 did not accept the resolution of the Municipal Council This letter is for consideration before the Council On 26th September 1930 Mr Pyanna brought forward a resolution that the Municipal Commissioner be instructed not to collect the flat rate in accordance with the resolution (dated 22nd April 1930) of the Municipal Council and this was carried unanimously On this the Commissioner put up a memo dated 3rd October 1930 (Copy enclosed) stating that the resolution was against Government Order No L 8762/M1 338-29-2 dated 2nd June 1930 and against Section 47 Municipal Regulation On this the Council, without pointing out other sources of income, resolved again on 22nd December 1930 that the Commissioner be requested to give effect to the Council resolution suspending the levy of Flat rate

It may further be added that if the collection of the above rate be suspended a sum of 18,000/-, being the probable income under this head, would be decreased by that amount and that a similar sum under the expenditure side also should be reduced to balance the budget The budget for the current year is shortly as follows ---

Opening balance	Rs 1,69,395
Total receipt including Rs 18,000 on account of probable realizations under Flat rate	Rs 10,46,160
Total	Rs 12,15,555
Expenditure	Rs 11,25,883
Closing Balance	Rs 89,672

Out of the anticipated closing balance of Rs 89,672/- if a sum of Rs 25,000/-, being the statutory closing balance to be kept unoperated in the Bank and the State under Treasury

Treasury, be deducted only a sum of 64,672/- would be left for expenditure against this a sum of 63,500/- has already been sanctioned by the Council for the works noted below ---

Rs. 25,000	Contribution for the construction of Maternity Hospital
Rs. 23,500	Construction of sewage pipe line near Maharaja Mills
Rs. 6,500	Contribution to T.B. Hospital
Rs. 5,300	Temporary Survey establishment
Rs. 5,000	Installation of Fire hydrants in different parts of the City
Rs. 65,300	T o t a l

No amount would therefore be available out of the Closing balance to meet the deficiency on account of suspension of Flat rate

Apart from the Closing balance there is a sum of -- Rs. 1,90,250/- under reserves consisting of ---

T.D.R.	Rs.	52,750/-
Loan bond		1,00,000/- at 6½% interest
Do		37,500/- at 5½% interest
Total	Rs.	1,90,250/-

Out of this nearly a sum of 50,000/- has to be set apart for refund of deposits received from private persons such as tender deposit, market rent advance, security deposit of tobacco dealers, etc. A sum of 29,666 or Rs. 30,000 is required for completing major works floated in previous year. Further it is proposed to utilize a sum of Rs. 19,800 for extending Grain Bazaar etc. in the Market

It may further be pointed out that during the current year the revenue under Octroi has considerably -- fallen owing to peculiar trade conditions. From the --

details given in the margin it may be seen that collection

	1929-30	1930-31
	Rs	Rs
July	17,535	12,561
August	17,566	12,984
September	16,092	11,980
October	14,376	11 782
November	15,506	12,389
Total	83,075	61,696

under this head for 5 months from July to end of November 1930 has decreased by nearly 23,0 as compared with that of last year Taking the 5 months income into account the average monthly receipt will work up to Rs 12,339/- At this rate the income under this head for the current year would be --- Rs 1,48,068 against Rs 2,00,000/- as per actuals of the previous year. So there might be a fall of about Rs 40 0 under this head for the current year which must either be met from the reserves or the expenditure should be cut do to that extent

It will thus be seen that out of the reserves of --- Rs 1,90,250/- amount of Rs 1,40,000/- will have to be utilized leaving a balance of Rs 50,250/- This sum must be kept under reserves for meeting unforseen emergent expen and no portion of it could be utilized for other purpose On account of financial stringency the revision of the following establishment and other important works are also disallowed ---

(1) Revision of Office establishment
(2) Do Octroi establishment
(3) Do Sanitary establishment
(4) Appointment of a xxxxxx foreman
(5) Do a medical assistant to Health Officer
(6) Purchase of a steam road roller
(7) Electric lights in different parts of the City
(8) Providing sub-mains and taps

The grant for the Municipal P W D for the current year is only Rs 1,37,000/- against Rs 2 to 3 lakhs during previous year This amount is barely sufficient for maintenance

rid u[illegible] of ro d , dr i [illegible] Out of t
[illegible] r rt, [illegible] 50,000 - h[illegible] to be paid on account of
inco plete ora , [illegible] 5,000/- or accord o [illegible] e tab-
l [illegible] t d the b[illegible] of [illegible] 60,000/- [illegible] ft
for curr rt ora [illegible] ould be [illegible] pos[illegible] to redu e t e
[illegible]r t under P [illegible] to m et the def cie y that would ac-
crue on ac curt of suspen ion of recov ry of Flat rate
[illegible] ar d can be sect fro the current year' budget
ere are no other ite of expend ture whic could be
held back

[illegible]nally from t e point o v e of the [illegible]unicipal --
Re[illegible]ulatio , Section 46 of the Regulation gives the [illegible]uni
cipality power to m ke rules for v rious purpose and the
[illegible] Sub Clau e (1) of that Section enable t e
[illegible]unicipality to pre cribe taxes for Municipal purpo es
According to Section 60 Clause (2) they h ve to prepare
rul for t e purpose of Clau e (1) of Sect on 46 Pres-
cribing t e t x or taxe [illegible] ected Under Section 61
Cover ment have po er to anction, modify and impose co
dition or sanction the rule and taxes

Under Sectio 47 all alteration of tax , except
t xe required to be imposed by Cover ment under Section
74, m y be init ated by t e [illegible]unicipality subject to the
re uir ment of Clause (a) of proviso of Section 46 --
ich makes it incumbent on the [illegible]unicipality to obtain
the appro al of Co e ment and this practice prevails in
Bombay

Thus either from the fin ncial point of vi w or --
According to the regulatio oes the suspe sion of the
Tax r to appear to be justifi ble The flat rate
[illegible] luxury self-imposed by the hou e o ners to t e --
de r ase of t e rore ra erá poor r to pay --
to ir upo public f t ir f t their upply

even those liable to pay the water rate have not co m-
plained against its imposition By suspending the
flat rate the municipality will lose Rs 18,000/- at
an unfortunate and inoporture time when its fin nce
are actually gasping and is confronted with an ecoron
crisis

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments,

Honorary President

Proceedings of the Council Meeting held on 22-12-1930

39 To consider Municipal Commissioner's memo -- dated 3rd October 1930 in regard to giving effect to the Council resolution dated 26th September 1930 to suspend levy of flat rate

Resolution ---

Mr C N Narasinga Rao moved that the -- Commissioner's memo is not acceptable and that he be requested to give effect to the Council Resolution suspending the levy of flat rate

Mr W H Harumanthappa seconded it

After much discussion it was put to vote and passed 11 voting 'For' and 5 'Against'

Poll was demanded by Mr C N Narasinga Rao and seconded by Mr B Kempanna The following voted 'For' the proposition ---

1 Mr K T Bhashyam Iyengar, 3 Mr Thangavelu Pillai, 3 Mr W H Hanumanthappa, 4 Mr S V Srinivasa Setti, 5 Mr Ramalal Thivari, 6 Mr B L Byanna 7 Mr P Somasekhara Rao, 8 Mr S Venkataranga Iyengar, 9 Mr Y H Venkatarayappa, 10 Mr P S Shankarappa Setti, and 11 Mr C N Narasinga Rao

The following voted 'Against' the proposition ---

1 Miss Ruth E Robinson 2 Mr B Kempanna, 3 Mr Abdul Majid, 4 Mr Khaja Mohamed Khader Sheriff, 5 Mr Mohamed Hussain

Sd P Subbarama Chetty,
Hon President

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

SM

Office of the City Municipality,
Bangalore, dated the 3rd October 30

M e m o

The Council resolution passed at its meeting held on 26th September 1930 to instruct the Commissioner not to collect Flat rate is ---

(1) against Government Order No L 8762/Ml 338-29 dated 2nd June 1930 The income from Flat Rate amounting to Rs 18,000/- is also included in the Budget

(2) against Section 47 of ~~the~~ Municipal Regulation in as much as the power of the Municipal Council to suspend any rule prescribing a tax is subject to the requirements of Clause (a) of the proviso to Section 16 ~~of~~ to say it must be approved by Government

Sd [illegible],
Municipal Commissioner

"Copy

Municipal Commissioner

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 26-9-1930

) 6 Mr B L Byarre to ove, ---

"This Council do hereby instruct that the Municipal Commissioner does not collect Water Flat rate in accordance with the unanimous resolution of the Municipal Council

Resolution --- Mr B L Byrne moved the proposition. Mr C Siddaveerappa seconded

Put to vote and passed unanimously

Ed P Subbaram Chetty,
Honorary President

"Copy

Municipal Commissioner

PROCEEDINGS OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 22-4-1930

50 Mr H Hanumanthappa to move ---

That in consideration of the precariousness in the supply of drinking water, of the hardship to which -- many poor rate-payers are already subjected to by the -- raise of house taxes in the General revision and of the enhancement of tax voluntarily sought for by some rate-payers to secure house-connection, he proposed that the Flat Rate be discontinued "

Resolution --- Mr H Hanumanthappa moved the above This was seconded by Mr C Siddaveerappa

Mr C M Narasinga Rao proposed that under Section 73 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation the above proposition be sent to Government for orders This was seconded by Mr S A Razvi

Mr C Siddaveerappa proposed an amendment to the -- above proposition, viz , That the collection of Flat Rate be suspended until the water supply is improved under New water supply scheme

This was seconded by Mr D Venkatarao Rao The mover of the above proposition accepted the amendment The propositon in the amended form was put to vote and -- passed 11 voting 'For' and 1 'Against'

Sd P Subbarama Chetty,
Hon President

Copy

Municipal Commissioner

L 7211 A/01-1 Rel 111-30
Sec-12

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
through the President, City Municipal
Council, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter G To 190 dated the 26th January 193[illegible] submitting the resolution of the Bangalore City Municipal Council held on the 22nd December 193[illegible] reque ing that the Council resolution suspending th levy of flat rate be given effect to ~~by you~~, I am directed to state that there is a misconception in regard to the nature of this revenue and the assumption that it is a rate under the Municipal law is responsible for the ~~xxxxxx~~ controversy in question

signed by me V-S-Sastry
Dy 6th March 1931

2 The flat rate is a fee charged for ~~xxx~~ every connection given by the Public Works Department and ~~it cannot be assumed to~~ is not a rate levied under the Mysore Municipal Regulation As a matter of convenience and economy, the collection of the rate was entrusted to the Municipal Council

3 The several items that enter into the income side of the Revenue Account of the City Water Works can broadly be brought under two classes detailed below, so ~~xx~~ long as the Municipal Council ~~is~~ are unable to bear the whole cost of the scheme -

(i) Municipal rate for the provision of water service which is a primary responsibility of the Municipal Council and other payments which the Municipal Council makes towards such services from its general resources,

(ii) Rents, fees and excess consumption charges payable by persons -- taking house connections

The City Water Works are the property of Government and the Municipal Council cannot have anything to do with the items coming under class (ii) ~~excepting such co-operation as it may be willing to offer in their collection~~ except that it may be willing to collect the calls up the Govt of Madras IT is only in regard to the items coming under class (i) that the Municipal Council can claim to exercise its powers under the Municipal Regulation

4 In these circumstances, I am to request that these facts may be placed before the Municipal Council ~~and their views communicated to Government~~ for their information I ~~am also to point~~ are not out that, if the Municipal Council ~~is~~ willing to help Government in collecting in an economic manner, any of the items coming under class (ii), Government have no intention to force the Municipal Council to do so, and the Public Works Department will have to undertake this work and collect the rate along with the excess Water Charges

I have etc

23.2.31

Gen Secy to Govt

(Vide orders on the note)

Pa

23/2/31

[illegible] 111-30 Sec 14

No GL 267 Encls One

MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
Bangalore City Dated 14th April 1931 16

GEN & REV SECTT 5062 22 APR 1931 L S G BRANCH

FROM

C MACHIA ESQ B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

R Ranga Rao, Esq, B A, B L,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 7211/Ml 111-30 fee 12 dated 6th March 1931 stating that flat rate is a [illegible] charged for every connection given by the Public Works Department and is not a rate levied under the Mysore Municipal Regulation etc, and requiring this fact may be placed before the Municipal Council for information, I have the honor to state that the [illegible] subject was placed before the Municipal Council held on 4th April 1931 and a copy of the resolution as proposed by Mr Somasekhara Rao is herewith submitted for favour of orders of Government

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chacha
Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments,
(Not in Station)
Honorary President

Pl 21/4/31 PV
Mr RR
AS 21/4/31
SM

Proceedings of the Council meeting held on 4-4-1931

---

25 To consider letter No L 7211-41 111-30-12 dated 6th March 1931 from the General Secretary to Government stating the Flat Rate is a Fee charged by the Public Works Department and is not a rate levied under the Mysore Municipal Regulation and requesting that the matter be placed before the Municipal Council

Mr C N Narasinga Rao proposed that the letter be recorded with an observation that the Municipal Council does not agree with the views of Government and reaffirms its previous resolutions and resolves that the flat rate shall not be collected

Mr W H Hanumantappa seconded it Mr S K Venkat ranga Iyengar proposed an amendment that this Council does not admit the legality of the levy of the flat rate as a fee by the D P and in view of the fact that the Government letter concedes that the flat rate is not a levy under the Municipal Regulation the collection of the same be prohibited This was seconded by Mr M P Somasekhara Rao

Mr M P Somasekhara Rao proposed a further amendment that while not agreeing with the Government's view that the water rate is a fee levied by the D P and not a rate imposed under the Municipal Regulation, this Council affirms that as a rate imposed under the Municipal Regulation, the flat rate has been validly suspended by the Council and that viewed as a fee levied by the D P W it would not be a levy authorized by Law and that in either view it cannot be collected

Mr S K Venkataranga Iengar and Mr C N Narasinga Rao the movers of the previous propositions accepted amendment of Mr M P Somasekhara Rao

Mr C S Nasrulla Sheriff moved a further amendment to delete the words beginning with " and that viewed as a fee levied by the D P W it would not be a levy authorized by law in either view it cannot be collected in the amended

amended proposition

This was lost for want of a seconder

The amended proposition of Mr M P Somasekhara Rao was then put to vote and carried by 9 voting for and two against

Sd P Subbarama Chetty,
Hon President

"C o p y"

For Municipal Commissioner

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

2085

Diary No of let er replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 28-1-32

Signed by M. J. S. Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Rel

File No 111-30

Serial No 17

No L 5296

To

The Rel Engr, B and A Rly

Dear Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly furnish information as to the amount of flat rate collected by the Rel in each of the years during which the work was done by the Rel agency.

The matter may be treated as urgent.

Yours truly

Secretary

06/1

for Secretary

45 Jan 32

W D 71—GBPM—40 000—20 7 31

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJLCT

2 DPS

Section 2nd
File No 111-30
Serial No 18

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 5297

To The Chief Engr & Supdt

Sir, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points in connection with the levy of plot rate in the Bangalore city

(1) During what years was the plot rate ~~stamp~~ collected by the Municipality and what was the amount ~~of the arrears~~ ~~if any~~ on this account when the collection work was suspended in the 2nd cl ?

collected ~~by them~~ each year and what was the amount in arrears

(2) From what year are the 2nd cl paying the entire maintenance charges for the water supply and what is the amount recovered for ~~each~~ each year from this account ?

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 28/12/22

Signed by Mr H S Rao

List of enclosures

(2) From what years was the rate collected by the Mc Council & what was the amount ~~collected~~ realised in each ?

Copied by

Examined by

28/12/22

(3) What was the amount of maintenance charges what the Mukteshwar was paying on account of the maintenance of water supply, before they ~~[illegible]~~ commenced to bear the full maintenance charges?

A very early reply is requested

I have etc

Asst
26/1
for Secy to Govt

25 Jan
18/1

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
BANGALORE
Dated 4th February *193* 2

Dear Sir, Mu 111-30-19

With reference to your D O No L 5296/Ml 111-30-17 dated 28th January 1932, regarding the amount of flat rate collected by the Municipality, I write to inform you that the flat rate began to be collected by the Municipal Agency from the second half year ~~k~~ of 1929-30 i e 1st January 1930 The amount collected since then is noted in the margin

Yours sincerely,

A Subba Rao, Esq B A B L,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
B a n g a l o r e

M N R

1,284

...y flat rate in Bangalore City

Public Works Department,
Central Office

No 12353
Encls Sus 34/M

Dated Bangalore 10 3 1932

From

The *Chief Engineer of Mysore*
Bangalore

To

The Financial Secretary to Government
BANGALORE

GEN & REV SECTT
12 MAR 1931
L. S. G. BRANCH
13347

Sir,

Levy of flat rate in the Bangalore City

With reference to your letter No L 0089/M1 dated the 26th February 1932, I have the honour to inform you that the particulars required in connection with the above, have been called for from the Executive Engineer Water Supply Division, and they will be furnished on receipt

I have the honour to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Engineer of Mysore

BVH

Secy for personal
connection is with the Asst-Secy

Please send another reminder
to the Chief Engr

GENL & RE

4384

Tel Add MYSORWORKS

No 14336.

Enc 1 copy of letter
1 statement

Public Works Department

Central Office

Dated Bangalore 5th May 1932

From

M G RANGAIYA Esq BA BE MRS I VAm AE
Chief Engineer of Mysore
Bangalore

To

Ml III-30-32

H V Ramaswamy, Esq , M A , B L ,
Secretary to Government, General Department,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY
6 MAY 1932
No

Please put up with the papers

Levy of flat rate in Bangalore City

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your D O letter No L 6832/Ml 111-30 dated the 8th April 1932 regarding the above, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No 1166 dated the 22nd April 1932 together with a copy of the statement received from the Executive Engineer, Water Supply Division, furnishing the particulars required

2 The particulars relating to the Municipal Council are not available in this office The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality has been addressed in the matter

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M G Rangaiya

Chief Engineer of Mysore 5-5-32

TSRN

Letter No 1166 dated 22nd April 1932, from the Executiv Engineer, Water Supply Division, Bangalore, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore

---

With reference to your Memo No 10951 dated 3rd February 1932 and subsequent reminder No 12354 dated 10th March 1932, calling for certain information in connection with the levy of flat rate in the Bangalore City, I have the honour to state as follows -

The system of collection of flat rate by the P W Department in the Bangalore City was in force from July 1925 to September 1926 The total amount of flat rate recoverable was Rs 5911-8-4 This amount was subsequently recovered by instalments from 1926-27 to 1931-32 as per details furnished in the statement enclosed, leaving a balance of Rs 438-0-0 at end of February 1932

As regards the information regarding the particular years when the flat rate was collected by the Municipal Council and the amount realised in each year, this information is not available in this office The Municipality may kindly be addressed in the matter

The Municipal Council is paying the entire maintenance charges of the Water Supply (deducting the moiety that is being paid by the Garrison Engineer) from 1st July 1926 The amount collected during 1928-29 to 1930-31 are noted below, but the recoveries effected prior to that period is not readily availab and is being collected from old records

1928-29	Rs 1,53,402-0-0
1929-30	1,50,624-0-0
1930-31	1,54,174-0-0

The amount of maintenance charges which the Municipality was paying before they commenced to bear the full maintenance charges, has to be ascertained from the Municipality only

---

" Copy "

5/5/32

For Chief Engineer of Mysore

Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of Flat rate charged during the period from 1st July 1925 to end of September 1926, and the Balance up to end of Feb 1932

Division	Demand for the year from 1st July 1925 to end of Sept 1926	Collection for 1926-27	Collection for 1927-28	Collection for 1928-29	Collection for 1929-30	Collection for 1930-31	Collection for 1931-32	Grand Total	Balance to end Feb 193
A Division	483 12 0	406 0 0	22 8 0	7 8 0	3 12 0	5 12 0	3 12 0	449/4	34 8 (
B Division	949 ,8 0	517 4 0	144 4 0	86 12 0	42 12 0	45 4 0	35 12 0	872/-	77 8 (
C Division	1,475 0 0	465 4 0	145 0 0	346 12 0	214 0 0	129 4 0	87 8 0	1387/-	87 4 (
D Division	1,233 12 0	693 4 0	122 8 0	260 8 0	23 4 0	15 0 0	23 12 0	1138/4	95 8 (
E Division	1,233 4 0	690 12 0	343 8 0	36 12 0	15 0 0	27 8 0	36 8 0	1150/-	83 4 (
F Division	536 4 0	400 4 0	25 8 0	37 12 0	7 8 0	5 4 0		476/4	60 0 (
	5,911 8 0	3,172 12 0	803 4 0	776 0 0	306 4 0	228 0 0	187 4 0	5473/8	438 0 (

For Chief Engineer of Mysore

TSRN

4626

Tel Add MYSORWORKS

Public Works Department.

No 14991

Central Office

Enc 1

Dated Bangalore 22nd May 1932

Camp: Hassan. 23

ME III-30-21

From

M G RANGAIYA Esq BA, BE MRS I.F.E.

Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore

26 MAY 1932

P. W. D. BRANCH

To

H V Ramaswami Esq , M A , B L ,

Secretary to Government, General Department,

Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
23 MAY 1932
No. 4 3 4

Subject:- Levy of flat rate in Bangalore City

Sir,

In continuation of this office No 14336 dated the 5t May 1932, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No T O 3827 dated the 14th May 1932 received from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, furnishing the particulars required in connection with the above.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

M G Rangaiya
22.5.32

M C P

Chief Engineer of Mysore

~~Please will be placed in the file, when concluded~~

Letter No T O 3827 dated 11/14th May 1932 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore

---

I have the honor to note below information regarding the collection of Flat-rate etc , as desired in your letter No 14335 dated 5-5-1932

2 Flat-rate is being collected from the second half year of 1929-30 and the collections are as follows -

1929-30	Rs	4324	0	0
30-31	Rs	7874	0	0
31-32 (to end of April 1932)	Rs	4820	0	0

4 From 1-7-1926 the entire maintenance charges of the water supply is being borne by the Muncipality Prior to that they were paying to Government all the money collected under "Water Tax"

The Collections were as follows -

1921-22	R	40156	0	0
1922-23	Rs	52030	0	0
1923-24	Rs	48638	0	0
1924-25	Rs	81775	0	0
1925-26	Rs	57510	0	0

"C O P Y"

MCP

for Chief Engineer of Mysore

SUBJECT

Section 2nd —
File No 111-30
Serial No 22

Diary No of letter repl ed to ..

No L8372 of 20/6/32

To the Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Dear Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your letter no G 20767 dated 14/6/1931 on the subject of the levy of flat rate in the Bangalore cantonment, and am directed to request you to discuss the matter with the II member of Council and

Date of issue

Signed by

The Secretary to Government of Council Department

Yours sincerely

20/6

Secretary

List of enclosures

Copied by JB 20/6/32

Examined by

Dear Sir,
The Second Member of Council desires to discuss with you the question relative to the Bangalore cantonment flat rate mentioned in the correspondence ending with your letter no G 20767 dated 14/6/1931 and I shall be glad if you will make it convenient to meet the Member concerned and discuss the matter with him.

Yours sincerely

Secretary

[Appendix G—1]

SUBJECT

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 11/8

Signed by Mr A S Row

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Rul

File No 111 30

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to L 5063 No D 0 L 1111

To

Dear Sir

I am desired to request you to kindly ~~state, for the information~~ the Second Member of Council of ~~Finance~~ the desire of the Government in the discussion with the Government of India on the question of the levy of flat rate in the City of Bombay

Yours sincerely

for Secy

11/8

To

P N Krishnamachar Esq MA

Accountant, Bangalore

Cy

Secy 10/8

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20 7 31

Ext

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dep. L8372

Mc 211-30

Dated Bangalore 20th June 1932

Dear Sir,

The Second Member of Council desires to discuss with you the question relating to the levy of flat rate in the Bangalore City Municipality, vide correspondence ending with your predecessor's letter No G.To 267 dated 14/16th April 1931 I am accordingly to request you to kindly make it convenient to meet the Member early and discuss the matter with him.

Yours sincerely,

Asubba Rao

To

P H Krishna Rao Esq , M A ,

Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City.

11th August 1932.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to request you to kindly inform the Second Member of Council of the result of the discussion you had with the President of the Municipal Council on the question of the levy of flat rate in the City.

Yours sincerely,

P.H. Krishna Rao, Esq., M A ,
Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipal Council, Bangalore.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to No 2620

To Grand Court Bloxwich

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Dear Sir

I am desired to write refer to this office D.O. No L 1111/ml (11-30 3) 11 8 32 and to request you to kindly intimate to the Secy Municipal Council the result of the discussion which you had with the President of the ml Council in the matter of the levy of flat rate in the city

Yours faithfully

Date of issue 12/10

Signed by Asst Revenue

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

To P Hanumadas Esqr MA
Ml Council Bloxwich

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20 7 31

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT -

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 4136

To

Dear Sir. -

I am desired to do
invite ref to this office letter No
L2620/Rev Dy 12 10 32 and
to request you to kindly intimate
whether the decision of the Govt
you had with the Govt of the
Rev Dl in the matter of the levy
of flat rate in the case
has been intimated to the
Second member of Council

Yours faithfully

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 13/12/32

Signed by Mr A S Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

P Hanumanta Rao Engr Asst
Rev Comm Regn

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20 7 31

D O No L 4600 dt 16-1-1933

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to this office D O letter No L 2620/M1 dated 12th October 1932 and the subsequent D O reminder No L 4136/11 dated 13th December 1932 thereto, and to request you to kindly intimate whether the result of the discussion you had with the President of the Municipal Council in the matter of the levy of flat rate in the City, has since been intimated to the Secon[illegible] Member of Council

The favour of an early reply i[illegible] requested

Yours sincerely,

P H Krishna Rao, Esq , L A ,
Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City

DO 9/M 55
325
32-33

MR III-30-23

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
BANGALORE

Dated 17th January 1933

3139
2

Dear Mr M S Krishnamachar

With reference to your D O No L 4600/M1 dated 16th January 1933 in thematter of the discussion I had with the President on the levy of flat rate in the City I write to inform you that I have intimated the Second Member of Council about the result of the discussion and request that the file may kindly be returned to me for being placed before the Council in connection with the general improvement of finances for meeting the extra expenditure on account of water supply

Yours sincerely

M S Krishnamachari, Esq, B A B L
Superintendent, L S G Branch,
General and Revenue Secretariat
B a n g a l o re

[illegible] x F G—13

SUBJECT

Section ME
File No 111-30
Serial No 24

Diary No of letter repl ed to

No L 4707

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 20/1/33

Mr M S K

igned by

ist of enclosures

opied by

Exan[illegible]

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. No. 325/32-33 dt. 17.1.33 dated 17-1-33 on the levy of flat rate in the City, I am desired to request you to see the Secretary Council at the Office on Monday the 23rd inst.

Yours sincerely
M S K

D. Krishna Rao Esq Mo

[illegible] 30,000—20.7.31

Express

Immediate.

20th January 1933

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O No 325/32-33 dated 17th January 1933 on the levy of flat rate in the City, I am desired to request you to see th Second Member of Council at the Office on Monday the 23rd instant.

Yours sincerely.

P H. Krishna Rao, Esq., M A.,
Municipal Commissioner Bangalore
City ~~Municipal Council~~.

L-4810

Ml 111-30-25

24th January 1933

The

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City,
through the President, Bangalore City Municipal
Council.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No G.T. 267 dated 16th April 1931, forwarding for orders the resolution of the Municipal Council in the matter of the collection of flat-rate by the Municipality, I am directed to state that in view of the necessity for finding more funds for the various requirements of the Municipality, especially the extra expenditure on account of water-supply, the Municipal Council may be requested to reconsider their resolution

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government.

2T33.

Appendix F G—11

SUBJECT

Section mrl
File No 111-30
Serial No 25

Diary No of letter replied to L 5063
3139

No L 4810

To

The municipal commissioner
Bangalore city
(this side present)

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no 4 J 267 dated 16/4/31, forwarding for orders the resolution of the municipal council [illegible] the flat rates as fixed by the Board and approved by the Govt in the matter of the proposed scheme and [illegible] of flat-rate by the municipality collected, I am directed to state that in view of the necessity

Date of issue 25/1/33

Signed by Mr MSK

List of enclosures

Copied by mrl

collection

ned by

W D 71—GBPM—40 000—20-7 31

~~for the work~~ for funds more
funds for the various requirements
of the Municipality, especially
the extra expenditure on account
of water supply, the Govt may be
~~asked~~ requested to reconsider their resolution
~~in the matter~~.

Thanks etc

MSK

For General Secy Bfore

Ml 111-30-26 5359

No T 0386/1734

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

Bangalore City Dated 16th May 1934.

FROM

P H KRISHNA RAU ESQ MA
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
Bangalore City

GEN & REV SECT
21 MAY 1934
L & G L

TO

CHIEF SECRETARY
17 MAY 1934

R. Ranga Rao, Esq, B A, B L,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your D O letter No L 8372 Ml 111-30 dated 20th June 1932 regarding the levy of flat rate on house connections in the Bangalore City Municipality, I have the honour to state that the subject was once again placed before the Council for consideration at their meeting held on 9th April 1934 and it was resolved, that in view of the fact that meters are proposed to be installed to every private connection and as this item is not included in the ways and means contained in the orders of Government fixing the amount payable by the Municipality on account of Water supply charges and the various sources from which this amount has to be met, the Government be requested to cancel the levy of flat rate

A copy of the resolution of the Council is sent herewith, with a request that sanction of Government may kindly be accorded thereto

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

Honorary President

DRR

No. 32.

Proceedings of the adjourned ordinary general meeting of the **City** Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Monday the 9th April 1934, at 12 noon in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal Office, Bangalore.

Present.

B.K. Garudachar, Esq., (Honorary President.)

Members.

Messrs.

1. K.Venkatasamy,
2. A.V.Hanumantayya,
3. S.V.Srinivasasetty,
4. K.T.Thasyam Iyengar,
5. Ramlal Tivari,
6. T.S.Ramakshiah Setty,
7. Dr V.B.L.Narayan,
8. Y.V.Venkatarangayya,
9. D.Venkataramanayya,
10. Thomas Samy,
11. P.S.Basavalingappa Setty,
12. C.A.Narasimha Rao,
13. Rajasekharaiah Varadaraja Rao alias C.Varadaraja Rao,
14. C.L.Garudachar,
15. T.Basavalingappa Rao,
16. S.Sitaramaiah,
17. A.C.Thimmaiah,
18. T.S.Narasimha Murthy,
19. Mir Iqbal Hussain,
20. Nizamuddin Bakri,
21. J.J.Isaac,
22. Selvaraj Mudaliar.

Absent.

1. Mr.Pelur Srinivasaiyengar,
2. Mr.K.Srinivasa Murthy,
3. Dr.D.Gopalakrishna Rao,
4. Mr.T.Venkataramaniengar,
5. Mr.T.Chikkanna Setty,
6. Mr.Subhanna Rai,
7. Mr.T.Ramavelu Pillay.

---

40 To consider Office Memo dated 17th March 1934 that a final reply may be sent to Government in the matter of the levy of Flat Rate and that in the ways and means for meeting the four lakhs of rupees for the water supply charges, levy of flate is not included

Resolution - The Government be requested to cancel the levy of Flat Rate

Sd B K Garudachar,
Honorary President

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

DBR

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Mrl

File No 111-30

Serial No 27

Diary No of letter replied to L5359

No L 395

To

Dear Sir

With reference to your letter no G To 386 | dated 16 | 5 | 34 forwarding for sanction copy of resolution which it wish to cancel the levy of flat rate on house connections in the municipality I am desired to request you to kindly make it convenient to speak to the Chief Fire Officer in the matter, at the Bombay offices

Yours Sincerely

14/7

To M. Seshadri Esq M.A

Accountant, Bangalore City

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 20.7.34

Signed by for A S Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0677—GPB—15 000—8 11 33

L 1364-6/ME III-30-28

Proceedings of the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

READ -

Government Order No M 1088-93 dated 2nd October 1925 sanctioning the proposal of the Bangalore City Municipal Council for the levy of a flat rate of 4 annas per mensem as a charge for every house connection for water in the city

2 Correspondence ending with letter No 386 dated 16th May 1934 from the Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City forwarding for sanction copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council to cancel the levy of the flat-rate sanctioned in the Government Order read above, as this item of revenue is not included in the ways and means enumerated in Government Order No L 1129-31/M1 260-32-13 dated 9th August 1933 for payment of water charges due by the Municipality

Order No

Dated Bangalore August 1934

The levy of the flat-rate referred to above was sanctioned with a view to increasing the income of the Municipality so as to facilitate the payment of the ~~maintenance~~ Water charges

P T O

payable by the Municipality

~~of the water supply to be borne by Government~~ at

Under the new arrangement ordered in the - Government Order of 9th August 1933 for the supply of water to the City from the Chamarajendra Reservoir at Thippagondanahalli ~~the~~ a sum of Rs 4 lakhs ~~payable~~ has to be paid by the Municipality annually towards water charges ~~has to be met from~~ and the sources for meeting it are enumerated in that Order The flat-rate in question is not one of the said sources and any shortage in the receipts under the ~~several heads~~ said sources has to be ~~met~~ made good from the general revenues of the Municipality In these circumstances sanction is accorded to the discontinuance of the levy of the flat rate proposal of the Municipality for the

Rd
11/8134

Chief Secretary to Government

Ans 1687 8/9

To

The Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City
(through the President)

The Comptroller to Government

The Chief Engineer in Mysore

To

Bn 258

[redacted]

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

EXTRA

Read -

Government Order No L 1088 93 dated 2nd October 1925 sanctioning the proposal of the Bangalore City Municipal Council for the levy of a flat-rate of 4 annas per mensem as a charge for every house connection for water in the City

2 Correspondence ending with letter No 386 dated 16th May 1934 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City forwarding for sanction copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council to cancel the levy of the flatrate sanctioned in the Government Order read above, as this item of revenue is not included in the ways and means enumerated in Government Order No L 1120-31/M 260-32-13 dated 9th August 1933 for payment of water charges due by the Municipality

Order No Le 1364-69/Mnl III-30-28

Dated, Bangalore, the 27th August 1934

The levy of the flatrate referred to above was sanctioned with a view to increasing the income of the Municipality so as to facilitate the payment of the water charges payable by the Municipality Under the new arrangement ordered in the Government Order of 9th August 1933 for the supply of water to the City from the Chamarajendra Reservoir at Thippagondanahalli a sum of Rs4 lakhs has to be paid by the Municipality annually towards water charges and the sources for meeting it are enumerated in that Order The flatrate in question is not one of the said sources and any shortage in the receipts under the said sources has to be made good from the general revenues of the Municipality In these circumstances, sanction is accorded to the proposal of the Municipality for the discontinuance of the levy of the flatrate

Ajubba Rao
for Chief Secretary to Government

To
The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City (Through the President)
[redacted] Controller to Government
[redacted] Treasurer in Mysore